6	n	n	റ	റ	0
O/	~	_	U	U	Ξ

OR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700027

A. Statement of Planning Objectives

The plan objectives are to inventory abandoned mine lands (AML) to set priority of closure necessary for public safety while protecting habitat and cultural resources. These AML features include open shafts and adits that present a potential safety hazard to the recreating public. Many of these adits and shafts provide important roosting bhabit for bats. Most of the AML sites are in heavy recreational use areas and need to be sealed off or place bat compatible gates on them to prevent off-road vehicles from riding into these unsafe features.

BLM public lands in California have legacies of abandoned mines that pose hazards to human health and life. The BLM Bishop Field Office follows BLM national policies that aim to remove these abandoned mine hazards from public lands.

B. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

To reduce risk of injury and harm to people enjoying OHV recreation or accessing sites where abandoned mine sites remain on BLM lands. The concern about rider safety around abandoned mines is real and immediate. There has been an increase of deaths and accidents over the past three years from riders falling into unsafe mine shafts. Travel in the lower elevations of the foot hills is in steep slopes and brush is usually obscuring the view of these openings. The ground around abandoned mines is frequently unstable and may cave in under the weight and force of traveling vehicles, for example.

Inventory of AML sites begins with bat surveys to document the presence or absence of colonies of rare bat species throughout a year, all season al life stages, is essential for the abandoned mine specialist and the Department of Conservation to prescribe the appropriate type of closure for abandoned mines to protect rare bat habitat while reducing hazards to the OHV riding public and other visitors to the public lands.

C. Statement of Activities

Conduct 4 season surveys for Coto maternity colony at Blind Spring Hills- 3days

Warm season surveys at Yaney, So. Belle, Gar Watts and Round Valley Mines.-7 days.

Conduct 4 season surveys for Tungsten Blue, Jack Rabbit and Lil shot mines-5 days.

Conduct 4 season surveys at two new sites in So. Inyo area.

D. List of Reports

Final Biological Report - Data from the biological surveys reports will be prepared and provided to the Division.

Each report will describe mine sites within the priority areas identified that pose significant risk to human health and safety. Components of the biology reports should contain: name of mine, date surveyed, utm location, county, mountain range,

type of feature, survey type (internal or external), wildlife presence or absence, wildlife type, visible hazmat, accessibility, and remediation recommendations.

Version # Page: 1 of 9

Additional Documentation for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: BLM - Bishop Field Office Application: Planning

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700027	
1.	Timeline for Completion Attachments:			Timeline
2.	Optional Project-Specific Application	Documents		

Optional Project-specific Maps

3.

Version # Page: 2 of 9

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: BLM - Bishop Field Office Application: Planning

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	_		APP #		
APPL	ICANT NAME :	BLM - Bishop Field Office						
PROJ	ECT TITLE :	Planning				PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only):		
PROJECT TYPE :		☐ Acquisition	Development		□ Educ	cation & Safety	☐ Ground Operation	ons
FROJ	ECT TIPE.	Law Enforcement	Planning		□ Rest	coration		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: resources. The shafts provide compatible gard BLM public lar		The plan objectives are to inventory resources. These AML features in shafts provide important roosting bloompatible gates on them to prevent BLM public lands in California have national policies that aim to remove	clude open shafts and adi nabit for bats. Most of the nt off-road vehicles from ri legacies of abandoned m	ts that present a pe AML sites are in iding into these unines that pose har	potential so heavy reconsafe feat heards to heards	afety hazard to the recreatir creational use areas and ned ures.	ng public. Many of these ed to be sealed off or pl	se adits and lace bat
	Line Item		Qty	Rate	JOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIREC	CT EXPENSES							
Progra	am Expenses							
1	Staff						_	
	Other-Geologist		80.000	65.000 H	HRS	0.00	5,200.00	5,200.00
	Other-Volunteers		360.000	18.000 H	HRS	0.00	6,480.00	6,480.00
	Other-Student Temp		80.000	26.000 H	HRS	0.00	2,080.00	2,080.00
	Total for Staff					0.00	13,760.00	13,760.00
2	Contracts							
	Other-Wild Biologist		1.000	15000.000 E	ĒΑ	15,000.00	0.00	15,000.00
3	Materials / Supplies							
4	Equipment Use Expenses							
5	Equipment Purchas	es						
6	Others							

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: BLM - Bishop Field Office Application: Planning

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
7 Administrative Costs							
Total Program Expenses					15,000.00	13,760.00	28,760.00
TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES					15,000.00	13,760.00	28,760.00
TOTAL	TOTAL EXPENDITURES					13,760.00	28,760.00

Version # Page: 4 of 9

Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 Agency: BLM - Bishop Field Office Application: Planning

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative
DIRI	RECT EXPENSES				
Prog	gram Expenses				
1	Staff	0.00	13,760.00	13,760.00	
2	Contracts	15,000.00	0.00	15,000.00	
3	Materials / Supplies	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Equipment Use Expenses	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Others	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7	Administrative Costs	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total Program Expenses		15,000.00	13,760.00	28,760.00	
TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES		15,000.00	13,760.00	28,760.00	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		15,000.00	13,760.00	28,760.00	

Page: 5 of 9 Version #

Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS) for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009
Agency: BLM - Bishop Field Office
Application: Planning

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version #	APP # 700027				
ı	ITEM 1 and ITEM 2					
	ITEM 1					
a.	a. ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determination (NOD) b (Please select Yes or No)	een filed for the Project?	C	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 2					
b.	b. ITEM 2 - Are the proposed activities a "Project" under C (Please select Yes or No)	EQA Guidelines Section 15378?	C	Yes	•	No
C.	c. The Application is requesting funds solely for personnel and ensure public safety. These activities would not cau environment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA.	use any physical impacts on the	С	Yes	•	No
d.	d. Other. Explain why proposed activities would not cause a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT complete ITEMS 3 -		onm	ent and	are	thus not
	Survey only. No ground disturbing activities would occu	r.				
I	ITEM 3 - Impact of this Project on Wetlands					
ı	ITEM 4 - Cumulative Impacts of this Project					
ı	ITEM 5 - Soil Impacts					
ı	ITEM 6 - Damage to Scenic Resources					
ı	ITEM 7 - Hazardous Materials					
	Is the proposed Project Area located on a site included Section 65962.5 of the California Government Code (has select Yes or No)		C	Yes	C	No
	If YES, describe the location of the hazard relative to th taken to minimize or avoid the hazards.	e Project site, the level of hazard a	and 1	the meas	sure	s to be
ı	ITEM 8 - Potential for Adverse Impacts to Historical o	r Cultural Resources				
	Would the proposed Project have potential for any subshistorical or cultural resources? (Please select Yes or	·	C	Yes	C	No
	If YES, describe the potential impacts and for any substicultural resources and measures to be taken to minimiz		gnifi	cance of	hist	orical or
ı	ITEM 9 - Indirect Significant Impacts					
	CEQA/NEPA Attachment					

Version # Page: 6 of 9

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: BLM - Bishop Field Office Application: Planning

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700027
1.	Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto pop	ulates from Co	st Estimate)
1	 As calculated on the Project Cost Estim Applicant is 3 	nate, the percent	age of the Project costs covered by the
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Plea	ase select one fr	om list)
	76% or more (10 points)		C 51% - 75% (5 points)
	@ 26% - 50% (3 points)		C 25% (Match minimum) (No points)
2.	Planning Project - Q 2.		
A PI	anning Project - Page 1		
2	The Planning Project would address the	e following 2	
	(Check all that apply) (Please select ap	plicable values)	
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	on on special-sta	tus species habitats
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation		
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation		
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	•	
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation		
	Potential effects of OHV Recreation	-	
	☐ Potential impact to relationships be		
	✓ Trail issues such as traffic patterns	-	or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation
	Trail issues such as trailic patterns	s, trails closures,	appropriate uses, etc.
В. Р	anning Project - Page 2		
	Explain each statement that was check	ed	
	Health and safety issue. If we do not gamine. This could impact loop systems. Mines can contain toxic or hazardous management of the same		mine shafts we would need to close the access to the
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Plea	ase select one fr	om list)
	6 or more items checked (4 points	s)	C 4 to 5 items checked (3 points)
	2 to 3 items checked (2 points)		C 1 or no items checked (No points)
3.	Motorized Access - Q 3.		
3	The Project would lead to improved fac nonmotorized recreation opportunities	=	e motorized access to the following
	(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points o ✓ Camping	each, up to a ma	iximum of 6 points (Please select applicable values) Image: Please select applicable values Image: Please select a
	₩ Gamping W Hiking		Equestrian trails
	▽ Fishing		✓ Rock Climbing
	Other (Specify)		3
4.	Public Input - Q 4.		
	The Project proposal was developed wi	ith nublic input A	mploving the following 1
	The indicate proposal was accorded wi	an pasis input o	inploying the lenothing i

Version # Page: 7 of 9

(Check all that apply) Scoring: Maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values)

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: BLM - Bishop Field Office Application: Planning

		✓ Meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Proj	
		☐ Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point ☐ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point))
		Explain each statement that was checked	
			o counties to discuss hazards and remidies of open mine
		shafts.	
5.	;	Stakeholder Input - Q 5.	
	5.	If the Project were approved, the planning process wo	uld incorporate substantial stakeholder input: 0
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one f	rom list)
		• No (No points)	C Yes (5 points)
		If 'Yes', explain, specifically, how it would be 'substanti	al'. Identify stakeholders
6.	ı	Utilization of Partnerships - Q 6.	
	6.	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accorganizations that will participate in the Project are 2	complish the Project. The number of partner
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one f	from list)
		C 4 or more (4 points)	© 2 to 3 (2 points)
		C 1 (1 point)	None (No points)
		List partner organization(s)	
		Bat Conservation International; California Department Museum Ridgecrest.	of Conservation-abandoned mine lands unit and Maturango
7.	;	Sustain OHV Opportunity - Q 7.	
	7.	The Planning Project sustains OHV Opportunity in the	following manner 3
		(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)
		Project will develop management plans for existing	
		Project will complete environmental review for an	
		Project supports development of OHV Opportunit Project supports development of OHV Opportunit Project supports development of OHV Opportunit ■ Project support suppo	ies adjacent to population centers (3 points) ies in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (2 points)
		Project will develop a system of designated OHV	
		Explain each statement that was checked	
		Will make OHV use safe	
8.		Identification of Funding Sources - Q 8.	
	8.	Funds for implementing the completed plan have been	identified 5
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one f	from list)
		No (No points)	
		Explain 'Yes' response	
		BLM internal funds to construct gates or fill in shafts.	
		Reference Document	
		Financial and Business Management System.	

Version # Page: 8 of 9

Planning Project Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009 6/2/2009 Agency: BLM - Bishop Field Office Application: Planning

9. Offsite Impacts - Q 9.

9.	The Planning Project would addredust, runoff): 0	ess offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive
	(Check the one most appropriate)	(Please select one from list)
	No (No points)	Yes (5 points)
	Explain 'Yes' response	

Version # Page: 9 of 9